

2/28/16

SUPREME COURT NO. 92530-5
COURT OF APPEALS NO. 46328-8-11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,
RESPONDENT
VS.
LARRY WEATHERMAN,
PETITIONER

Received
Washington State Supreme Court
E MAR - 1 2016
Ronald R. Carpenter
Clerk

MOTION: TO SUPPLEMENT THE PETITION FOR REVIEW

LARRY WEATHERMAN 727669
STAFFORD CREEK COLLECTIONS CENTER
191 CONSTANTINE WAY
ABERDEEN, WASHINGTON
98520

2/28/16

A. IDENTITY OF PETITIONER:

LARRY WEATHERMAN ASKS THIS COURT TO SUPPLEMENT THE PETITION FOR REVIEW IN THIS MOTION.

B. ARGUMENT WHY SUPPLEMENT SHOULD BE ACCEPTED:

THE STATEMENTS IN THE ADDENDUM
(REJECTED FILINGS)

SHOW THAT THE JURY ONLY RECEIVED HALF THE STORY AND THAT THIS WAS NOT A HARMLESS ERROR AND DENIED ME MY DEFENSE WHICH VIOLATED MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

IT BACKS UP MY STATEMENTS IN MY "PETITION FOR REVIEW" AND SHOWS ME TO BE CREDIBLE AND WOULD HAVE ALLOWED SUBSTANTIAL REASONABLE DOUBT IN MY CASE.

THE OUTCOME OF MY CASE WOULD HAVE BEEN VERY DIFFERENT.

BOTH STATEMENTS ARE PARTIALLY INCLUDED IN THE RECORD OF TRIAL, ONE BY DISCLOSURE IN OFFICER'S PRATHER STATEMENT AND ONE BY WITNESS TESTIMONY (MELISSA PORTER).

THERE IS A COVER LETTER IN THE ADDENDUM (REJECTED FILINGS) WHICH ALSO HELPS EXPLAIN THE REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENT OF THE "PETITION FOR REVIEW".

C. CONCLUSION:

FOR REASONS SET OUT IN THIS MOTION, THIS COURT SHOULD ACCEPT THE SUPPLEMENT FOR THE PETITION FOR REVIEW.

DATED THIS 28th DAY OF FEBRUARY
2016

LARRY WEATHERMAN
Larry Weatherman